

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,853	07/30/2003	Kiyoshi Kohiyama	1341.1157 6150		
21171 STAAS & HA	7590 11/08/2007	EXAMINER			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			PERUNGAVOOR, VENKATANARAY		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2132		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	·		11/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			•					
		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/629,853		KOHIYAMA ET AL				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Venkat Perunga		2132				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MONTHS FROM THE MONTHS FROM THE MONTHS IN THE	ATE OF THIS CO 36(a). In no event, how will apply and will expire , cause the application t	DMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be tim SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				•				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 S</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-fin	rmal matters, pro		e ments is			
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)								
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b drawing(s) be held tion is required if th	in abeyance. See e drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cf	` '			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice 3) Infor Pape	t(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/629,853

Art Unit: 2132

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 9-12, filed 9/18/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-2, 5,7,17, 20-22,25, 37, and 40 under 35 USC § 102 (b) as anticipated by US Patent 5421006 to Jablon et al.(hereinafter Jablon) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of US Patent 6192484 to Asano.

# Docketing

There has been a change in the Examiner prosecuting this case, please direct future communication relating to the Examiner to the number listed in the Conclusion section.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 20-26,40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 6192484 to Asano.

Regarding Claim 1, 20-21,40-41, Asano discloses the secure module that stores a first information, wherein the secure module can not be accessed from outside see Col 13 Ln 5-15 & Fig. 1 item 55; a memory that stores a second information, wherein the memory can be accessed from outside see Fig. 1 item 55 & Col 11 Ln 12-17; a falsification checking unit that is loaded on the secure module, wherein the falsification checking unit reads the second information from the memory by direct

Art Unit: 2132

access, compares the second information with the first information in the secure module, and checks a falsification of the second information based on the result of the comparison see Col 13 Ln 20-28; and a reproducing unit reproducing the second information when a result of the check by the falsification checking unit is that the second information is not falsified see Col 14 Ln 13-24.

Regarding Claim 2-6, 22-26, Asano discloses the second information being read in parts from each hard drive and non-volatile memory and further of comparing of the information see Col 13 Ln 15-43.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-12, 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6192484 to Asano in view of US Patent Publication 2002/0060703 to Tsukada.

Regarding Claim 7-12, 27-32, Asano does not explicitly disclose the storing and updating of setting. However, Tsukada discloses the updating and storing of setting see Abstract & Fig. 3 item "Update". It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the updating and storing of settings in the invention of Asano in order to remain current with the both memories as taught in Tsukada see Par. 0036.

Art Unit: 2132

Claims 13-18, 33-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6192484 to Asano in view of US Patent 6665780 to Bradley.

Regarding Claim 13-18, 33-38, Asano does not explicitly disclose the keys and secret information being used to store and encrypt the data. However, Bradley discloses the keys being stored and used to encrypt information see Col 5 Ln 53-65. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the keys being stored and used to encrypt information in the invention of Asano in order to establish a nexus as taught in Bradley see Col 5 Ln 53-65.

Regarding Claim 19, 39, Bradley discloses the bitmap configuration see Fig. 3A-2.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2132

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Venkat Perungavoor whose telephone number is 571-272-7213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/VP/ Venkat Perungavoor Examiner Art Unit 2132

November 5, 2007

GILBERTO BARRON JR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100